Case 1:07-cv-10849-AKH	Document 1	Filed 11/08/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
LIBOR STIPEK AND SANDRA L STIPEK		DOCKET NO.	
Plai	ntiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHO COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	E
- against -		PLAINTIFF(S) DEM	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		JURY	
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvi 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Co			9
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in tinstant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth h Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth,	erein in addition e are marked wi	n to those paragraphs than '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, LIBOR STIPEK AND		-	

GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	jured Plaintiff"), is an individual	and a
citizen of N	ew York residing at 56 Picari	ello Drive, Haverstraw,	NY 10927	
		(OR)		
2.	Alternatively, □	is the	of Decedent	
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of	

Cas	se 1:07-cv-10849-AKH Document	1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing Injured Plainti	at 56 Picariello Drive, Haverstraw, NY iff: SPOUSE at all relevant times had LIBOR STIPEK, and brings the injuries sustained by her husbar	Iter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 10927-, and has the following relationship to the serein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff his derivative action for her (his) loss due to the nd (his wife), Plaintiff LIBOR STIPEK. Other:
4. Rockland Util	In the period from 9/14/2001 to 9/16/20 lities as a Gas Troubleman at:	001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Orange and
<i>F</i>	Please be as specific as possible when fil	ling in the following dates and locations
Location(s) (i. From on or ab	Trade Center Site .e., building, quadrant, etc.) bout 9/14/2001 until 9/16/2001; y 12 hours per day; for	☐ The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
Approximatel The New Y From on or ab Approximatel	y <u>3</u> days total. York City Medical Examiner's Office out nours per day; for y days total.	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh From on or ab Approximatel Approximatel	y nours per day; for y days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	nis information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	above; ✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated ingested toxic substances and particulates on all or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
\Box The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
\square is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORT ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
=======================================	☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
□ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10849-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

☑ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☑; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.			
of lial	, ,	name	d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Other: _____

Case 1:07-cv-10849-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury:			Cardiovascular Injury:
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
	Descionts on Leisens Tells somelis 1			Francis Communication
\checkmark	Respiratory Injury: <u>To be supplied.</u> Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date		\checkmark	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: To be supplied at a later
	Date physician first connected this injury to			date
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			Date physician first connected this injury
	10 to the supplied at a safet date			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
				date
	Digestive Injury:			Other Injury:
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhat	1.C.f.	ina list	of injuries that may be allowed
	NOTE. The joregoing is NOT an exhau	ısıı	ive iisi	of infuries that may be atteged.
	2. As a direct and proximate result of	f th	e inim	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
Grour	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or			1 0 1
dama				
====				
_		==		
\checkmark	Pain and suffering	==:	====	
	Pain and suffering	==:	====	
✓		==		
✓	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life	==	====	
	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of		====	
✓	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life	==:		
✓	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of	==:		
✓	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	==:	====	
✓	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of			
	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits			
	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			
	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation Other:			
	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation Other: ✓ Mental anguish			
	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation Other: ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability			
	Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation Other: ✓ Mental anguish			

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 7, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Libor Stipek and Sandra L Stipek

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
November 7, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	LIBOR STIPEK (AND WIFE, SANDRA L STIPEK),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===:	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
===	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
====	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	□ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	□ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated,
	Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP